

---

Subject: Re: Truth about 9/11...

Posted by [IronWarrior](#) on Fri, 28 Jul 2006 04:06:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SpyGuy246 wrote on Thu, 27 July 2006 15:54Heh heh...actors and musicians. Because when I want information on politics and world events, I'll go to the liberal arts.

\*is a liberal arts major\*

The reason it's extremely, insanely unlikely for the U.S. to stage 9/11 is that it was an attack against the World Trade Center and Pentagon. If the government was looking to make serious money off the disaster, why attack an area/building(s) that already makes serious money? The millions/billions of dollars lost in their destruction, lost business, and memorial efforts would greatly offset anything gained from whatever oil reserves we don't already have access to from OPEC, not to mention the cost of weapons and whatnot used to attack.

Also, why would the government attack its own military headquarters if it wanted to start a war? That's basically like shooting yourself in the head in order to frame someone for assault. I can't see military brass corrupt enough to instigate a war as willing to potentially lose their nice offices and pretty secretaries. Not only does it not make sense to me, but it is also against general human nature.

The WTC was about to expire, so it had to come down or be totally refitted which would had cost more money then it was worth.

The US have attacked or allowed its things to be attacked before for their own interests, visit link below.

<http://www.usliberty.com/>

And why is it against general human nature? people destroy their own shit all the time, look in WW2, the Germans destroyed everything as they fell back to Germany..

People burn down their homes or get their cars stolen so they can collect the insurance.

Never forgot, us humans are bad ass mofos, we do anything for ourselves.

---