

---

Subject: Re: hope none of you are them

Posted by [JohnDoe](#) on Thu, 27 Jul 2006 17:09:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Thu, 27 July 2006 10:31JohnDoe wrote on Thu, 27 July 2006 09:03

I used "feel good" because it's provokative and therefore fit in the rest of the post...let's rephrase it to "explain things that men couldn't", then. It's always been like that and always will be.

I use the term mythical in the same way as I would label bigfoot , the yeti or of course my pink unicorns...there's no proof going their way or suggesting otherwise. I choose not to believe it, others do.

Even then, the only people who use God to explain things that they can't (and I mean as a definitive it-can-be-no-other-way type of answer) are wrong, but that doesn't mean that is why God is there. Some just prefer to use him that way.

The only problem with comparing God to those other things is that all of them but God has a physical being to be seen. Therefore there is the possibility of being proven/disproven. There is no physical being that could be identified as God. That puts him, for better or worse, in a unique category which is seperate from the standard mythologies.

Don't you use God to explain something that you can't understand (formation of the universe) as well?

Use the mothman then...lol it's really not another category.

---