
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [warranto](#) on Fri, 02 Jun 2006 18:48:50 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quote:Yea stuff that carries information...

not really, otherwise they would not have said "nothing can travel faster.."

Quote:No it's possible to have learned about God then. The difference is that people have proven molecules before, so it's possible to do it again, if the person is willing to, but God hasn't been proven.

People have said they have proven molecules exist. It's up to you to believe them or not. People have claimed to have proven God exists (hence the emergence of different religions), but you have to choose to believe them or not.

Quote:Sun moving around the earth has it's roots in religion as well. Existance of caloric is a perfect exemple for people making stuff up because they can't explain something...it's not a scientific approach, so therefore it isn't science.

Actually, the sun moving around the earth has its roots in science. Someone looked up into the sky, saw that the sun moved across the sky, in a continuous motion, and concluded with that evidence that the sun moves around the earth.

Caloric was something Scientists made up to explain the phenomenon of heat transfer, and held it as truth in the absence of anything to the contrary.

Quote:Since when is there a 99.9999% certainly that God exists?

There never has been, as far as we (meaning you and me), as individuals, can ascertain. Just as there is no proof (99% or 1%) to the existence of anything that we have not discovered for ourselves. It all comes down to a matter of belief. Both science and religion rely on that aspect (well, all things in humanity do) to succeed. There is no way we can honestly say "I know", unless we have experienced it ourselves, and can trust that our senses are not fooling us (and for all intents and purposes, they don't... but it's still a concern)
