Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.

Posted by JohnDoe on Fri, 26 May 2006 08:41:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quote:It doesn't have to be intelligent. I have no recourse to suggest that the God that probably caused the universe into being is intelligent or not. So I don't pretend to speculate.

Take the intelligent away then and leave some kind of life form and answer my question.

Quote:Because for every movement, evidence suggests a mover. Nothing can simply come into motion on its own. To suggest that it can is doing exactly what you're accusing me of: assumption of something you have no proof of. It's interesting that you mention purpose. Aristotle did some very interesting work on "purpose". Have a read.

On the contrary. Nothing suggests a mover. Do you know a single thing that isn't moved by something else? So what does evidence suggest?

Quote: The question isn't why can't there be an action prior to every reaction, it's how such an action can occur. Science, physics, and everything euclidian about our universe suggests it is impossible. That doesn't mean it actually IS impossible, but it suggests that it is not probable that such a thing defined our universe. You're purporting a theory based on science and evidence, but the long term past doesn't seem to coincide.

That's not right...the Big Bang - Big Crush theory is pretty popular among scientists. The long term past seems to coincide...tell me one thing that hasn't been affected by another.

Quote: Have a read of this. It's a bit complicated and pretty long, but it establishs a firm foundation that one certainly IS more likely then the other based on the definite existence of a priori abstracts that do not necessarily follow the laws of physics, but defininately do play crucial roles in experience.

That's definitly too long for me to read without getting good grades for it . Is there some wikipedia thing that sums it up or something?