
Subject: Re: Protests over a cartoon... wtf.
Posted by [Hydra](#) on Tue, 23 May 2006 06:09:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Javacx wrote on Fri, 19 May 2006 11:52No he didn't. He said exactly what he reiterated to you in his second post. The irony is not really all that intriguing, but it's still there. It may not be as vehement as I suggested in my earlier post, but it was still an attack in that he tried to downplay the actions of those muslims by saying "well Christians complain about stupid stuff like this too."

Quote:I spoke to many Christians about the cartoons, and none of them responded to "well, it's what they believe, they have a right to be mad", whereas most of them responded with "wow, it's just a cartoon".

They probably said "wow, it's just a cartoon" because of the way the muslims responded to them; no sane person is going to say, "They have a right to be mad, which justifies their rioting."

Quote:I think you need to reevaluate why it is you got so angry in the first place. Because it certainly wasn't over anything that was inferred.

Where did I get angry? The all-caps? Those are there simply for emphasis.

DoverQuestion:

Hydra, are attacks on Christianity any worse than attacks on Islam? If so, why?
No, though you want me to say yes.

Both religions have been attacked in similar ways--Islam with the cartoons, Christianity with The DaVinci Code book and movie.

Both have responded in starkly different ways--Islam with violent riots, Christianity with peaceful protests (and banning of the movie in some countries at the worst; nothing violent (to my knowledge))

Is it an attack to say Islam responded in a much more violent way to criticism than Christianity? How about if I said that it is illegal and punishable by death to be a Christian in every country governed by Islamic law while it is perfectly legal to be a muslim in most countries founded on Christian principles?

Of course not; it's a simple observation of reality. The modern-day media leads us to believe that these are attacks because it has become politically incorrect to confront the brutal facts.

Quote:But then again, what rights do European knights have for pillaging the holy land? If you want to bring the Crusades into this (wars started IN REACTION TO muslim aggression), you can throw all relevancy of your argument to today's world (that's the year 2006, not the year 1306) right out the window.

Quote:As long as there has been one true god, there has been killing in his name.
As long as there have been multiple true gods, there has been killing in their name.
As long as there has been no god, there has been killing in our name.
As long as there has been a human race, there has been killing in man's name.
For as long as I don't have what you have, I have always been killing you to get it.

You can't blame on religion what has been caused by our nature.

If anything, religion serves to assist us in controlling our nature and NOT killing each other just to get the other guy's stuff. That whole "love your neighbor" and "serve others" thing wouldn't even exist had it not been for religion. Mankind would have never left the caves and advanced to where we are now had we never had any religion.

Sure there's been killing in His name, but moreso than killing, there has been innovation.

Amen.

P.S. Word to the wise: don't take anything in The DaVinci Code for fact without doing your own independent research.

There's more evidence for a secret treasure hidden by Freemasons that our Founding Fathers left clues to find in our founding documents than there is for Jesus's supposed daughter.

Disney ends up making a more compelling case than Ron Howard.
