

---

Subject: Re: 9/11 Treason

Posted by [PlastoJoe](#) on Sat, 22 Apr 2006 21:45:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Why in the world would terrorists get armored vehicles for car bombs? First of all, an armored car would contain a good part of the blast. That's why Iraqi terrorists use sedans and trucks instead of captured or old tanks or the like for their bombs. Secondly, which would arouse more suspicion: several men buying a plane ticket or having several armored trucks make an unscheduled delivery to a downtown building? The 1993 bombing of the World Trade Center involved a car bomb (not an armored car) in the parking garage underneath the building, where you would think it would be most vulnerable. It only killed 6 people and didn't cause irreparable damage to the building.

And all they'd have to do is kill the security? Please; this isn't the Matrix. That would set off all kinds of alarms all over New York and cause all sorts of screwups in their plan.

Suicide bombers would certainly not have killed more than 2000 people unless they were armed with nukes. There is no way you could organize and move into the buildings in secret that many suicide bombers. And they certainly would not have taken down a skyscraper.

---