

---

Subject: Re: 9/11 Treason

Posted by [renwarrior](#) on Sat, 22 Apr 2006 14:27:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

i jsut watched 1 video (loose change) and it was pretty convincing. the pentagon attack looks pretty fake if it was an aircraft that hit it. but as for the twin towers attack...i really dont know what side to believe. there is a lot of evidence on both. but the way i see it is this:

if it was a terrorist attack,why planes?? i mean it would have been much more effective just to simply drive a few armoured van/trucks in to the building. a few dozen or so armed men. basically all they had to do was get in.kill the security. go to where they needed to detonate the bombs and blow up the towers. why go to all the bother of hijacking planes and doing little damage to the buildings at the start and giving more people the chance of escape. if they had bombed teh building with suicide bombers on foot, they would have killed more people and could even have attacked hte buildings around them.more effective.

if it was a setup though,why go to all the bother again with the planes?? they could have simply blown up the towers with explosives and blamed it on terrorists.it would have been more convincing.or they could even have paid terrorists to do it.

but this is just what i think. since it is to do with america i really dont care very much since i live in the uk.but if the government wont release all the evidence of the attacks then they obviously have something to hide.

---