
Subject: Re: An Objective Look at Media Bias
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Sun, 05 Mar 2006 06:50:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Fri, 03 March 2006 23:24 Again, just because there is a possibility that a slanted guest representation on the media can still deliver perfect objectivity doesn't mean that it's a chance worth relying on. The odds of such a situation are very, very small. And since there is such a consistency of a major slant in guests, I'm thinking that objectivity and balance isn't what the media networks have in mind. At least on Sunday.

I agree it isn't a chance worth relying on, but the media basically had a 40/60 bias in this study. The study though is done by a group with a 100/0 bias. If we can overlook a 100/0 bias, why can't we overlook a 40/60 one?

Personally, I don't think we can overlook either. My crazy scenario was meant to point out the absurdity of ignoring a 100% bias. I tend to be very distrustful of the way things are presented in the media, regardless of who is doing it. This study is presented to say we can't trust a 40/60 bias, but the study itself is a 100/0 bias. So if we can't trust a smaller bias than this study contains, we can't trust the study either. It invalidated itself. Kind of a paradox. In order to be right, it has to be wrong.
