

---

Subject: Re: An Objective Look at Media Bias  
Posted by [NeoSaber](#) on Thu, 02 Mar 2006 22:27:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Tue, 28 February 2006 16:56NeoSaber...

An organization being biased does not mean that they can conduct an unbiased survey.

I agree, an organization being biased doesn't mean they can be unbiased.

I assume you actually meant to write they "does not mean that they can't conduct an unbiased survey".

To which I have to ask, then what was the point of the survey? If people who are biased can give us objective information, then who cares if there is a media bias? If there are more republicans than democrats on Sunday morning talk shows, what prevents them from being as objective in their analysis as you claim this group to be? The republican party is an organization, despite having certain views on issues, so they can be objective too.

According to that, we don't even need to listen to democrats or progressives. Any republican or conservative can give us an unbiased, objective analysis of a situation. If an organization that represents a left/right bias of 100/0 can be objective, then certainly a media that offers a 40/60 bias can be objective as well. It doesn't matter if "Sunday is conservative", it can be just as objective if it was "Sunday is 50/50", or "Sunday is progressive".

Based on the objective nature of this study conducted by a biased organization, I modestly propose that only republicans who agree with Bush be allowed to appear on talk shows. Also the journalists running these shows should also be republicans who support Bush. This will allow us to remain objective, while getting rid of the annoying shouting matches that often occur when people of opposing parties are debating each other. It'll allow us to get an unbiased perspective and avoid the noise. This will result in an even wider dissemination of objective facts, as more people can be heard in less time. Everybody wins.

---