
Subject: Re: An Objective Look at Media Bias
Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 27 Feb 2006 04:39:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Sun, 26 February 2006 11:35An objective report on the bias of guests on Sunday morning talk shows.

By the way, before you try to refute this study, read this because attempted right wing smears of this study are listed so you don't have to look too stupid.

Some of your posts are "amusing" at best, and then there are those which are outright laughable.. Before we try to "refute" this, make sure we don't look stupid by reading "why we shouldn't try and refute this" written by the same people we're going to attempt to refute. (lol)

Can I cut and paste something for you?.. here goes

Quote:Our goal in designing the methodology for this study was to ensure that the classifications would be as unambiguous and defensible as possible, even to those who profoundly disagree with the goals of our organization.

Readers should be clear on what we did not do: These classifications do not represent an analysis of what each person actually said when she/he appeared on a show on a given date. Coding each guest's comments for their ideological slant would have introduced enormous difficulties and opportunities for subjectivity. Instead, we simply classified each guest based on her/his general partisan or ideological orientation.

Furthermore, these classifications do not represent whether or not the guests were ridiculed, belittled, cut off mid-sentence or simply made to look like fools. If you want to show that a Republican stance is stupid, would you invite a bunch of democrats to the show to agree with you? or would you try and get some Republicans on the show who you can argue with and get the last word in over before you cut them off and go to commercial?
