

---

Subject: Re: Patriot Act Renewal rejected by the Senate  
Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sun, 01 Jan 2006 18:53:33 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You realized you didn't prove anything?

The constitution says against unreasonable search and seizures.

These are in no way unreasonable.

And what you don't realize is that that rule can be waived for something called probable cause.

If a cop has reason to suspect you are hiding something in your car, he can search it. If a cop hears gun shots from your house, he can run in. Warrants are bypassed all the time. Every time you ever told someone any personal information they couldn't find on public record you bypassed a warrant.

The constitution is meant to be vague and this is one of those situations. If I can't have my machine gun you can't have your warrants.

The Wars powers act was created to stop trading with the enemy and was passed 50 years before Vietnam.

According to the constitution ONLY Congress has the power to declare war. Now the president got around this by not declaring war. This is what they did. The president never supposed to be able to wage war, before war was only fought with a formal declaration. It was just the thing to do. They made the Wars Powers resolution to make this even more so, even though no president has ever been denied, it was a nice try.

And as I said, American citizens can be wiretapped when it involved foreign citizens. To say that they can't wire tap Bob smiths house in Connecticut , but we can wire tap Mohamed's phone in Bahrain , even though they constantly call each other is stupid.

If Bob smith has relations with Mohamed the terrorist, chances are Bob is talking to other terrorists. It is called common sense.

And according to FISA

Quote:The President may authorize, through the Attorney General, the surveillance without a court order for the period of one year provided it is only for foreign intelligence information and there is no substantial likelihood that the surveillance will acquire the contents of any communication to which a United States person is a party

Now this will probably get amended, they did receive information on American citizens, but they could always say they didn't know.

---