
Subject: Re: Patriot Act Renewal rejected by the Senate
Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sat, 31 Dec 2005 22:59:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

j_ball430 wrote on Sat, 31 December 2005 11:20SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Sat, 31 December 2005 10:14One last thing: Who knows how many terrorists have been successfully prosecuted and brought to justice by President Bush?
Who cares?

Think reaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaal hard about this one, and the answer might come to you.

NodbuggerThey aren't illegal, I had already proven that.

What you proved is that you don't know very much about how the government works.

NodbuggerAdditionally the FISC may be unconstitutional, because it may be a attempt by congress to impose restrictions on the executive Branch of the government outside of the constitutional admendment process.

This just makes no sense. Congress can impose restrictions on the Executive without amending the Constitution. Ever heard of the War Powers Act?

NodbuggerBased on this ruling, the President may have the authority to use warrantless wiretaps on Foreign National even if it involves US citizens, regardless of the FISC act.

This, of course, is not what the ruling says at all. And isn't the issue at hand either, oddly enough.

President Bush is illegally authorizing the NSA to spy on American citizens inside America without warrants. Skipping warrants, the NSA isn't even supposed to spy inside the U.S.

Nodbugger
<http://www.rasmussenreports.com/2005/NSA.htm>

Quote:December 28, 2005--Sixty-four percent (64%) of Americans believe the National Security Agency (NSA) should be allowed to intercept telephone conversations between terrorism suspects in other countries and people living in the United States. A Rasmussen Reports survey found that just 23% disagree.

Oddly enough, that's not even the situation at hand. Nice try at using a meaningless statistic to bolster your argument, though.
