

---

Subject: Re: I need some good Conservative/Republican types

Posted by [Hydra](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2005 02:58:32 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Y'all shouldn't respond so much while I'm doing my homework.

SuperFlyingLiberalToolYeah, DUH, but the cartoon is directly implying hypocrisy between a pro-choice and pro-environment stand.

Such an implication is, however, logically flawed in that the non-hippie is not giving birth to the condor eggs.

The hippie is trying to protect the eggs because they are the eggs of an endangered species; the non-hippie is hungry and eats the eggs that were going to be discarded anyway.

By the commonly held definition of life that pro-choicers use (of whom we can assume the hippie is a part), those eggs are not yet alive, yet the hippie is trying to defend them as if they were living condors.

The cartoon correctly argues that such a stance is hypocritical.

How is that "logically flawed?"

Quote:As far as "when life begins," I believe it begins in higher organisms when the brain develops and begins to function.

Too bad science doesn't agree with you.

Quote:Environmentalists don't protect the eggs of endangered species because it would be wrong for them to die, necessarily, but because the eggs will eventually become endangered species to refurbish the population that has been minimized by inbred Republican hunters.

Yet another shining example of hypocrisy coming from you.

You give yourself such high praise in not using personal insults, then you say something like that... tsk tsk tsk.

Quote:I do not deny that either a human embryo nor a condor egg will become a fully developed organism. The underlying principles behind abortion and protecting species, are however, entirely different.

So you're okay with killing babies as long as they're human babies.

Gotcha.

Ryan3kYou missed my point, which was that the comic is just a stereotype, so it has no value in this discussion. It depicts the "liberal" as an ugly, haggardly-dressed and kempt hypocrite, while the "conservative" is clean-shaven, well-dressed, and handsome (comparatively).

If someone wants to point out a "paradox" in beliefs and add something to this discussion of actual worth, you can do without a childish and prejudiced cartoon.

You apparently missed your own point:

Quote:...Like how I described earlier in this thread how many conservatives don't believe in gun control, yet would support the illegalization of abortion.

You just stereotyped most conservatives here as being against gun control while chastizing that cartoon for stereotyping most hippies as being pro-choice.

Let's face the facts, though:

-Most people who oppose most forms of gun control are more conservative in thought. Note how I never said you were wrong in saying many conservatives here oppose most forms of gun control--the problem is that you broke your own rule and stereotyped all of us as being anti-gun control (as well as anti-abortion).

-Most pro-choicers are liberal in thought. There is not one single pro-choice lobbyist group out there that supports conservative politicians that I can think of; nearly all of them support liberal candidates in elections (I probably could have left out the "nearly" as it would be a true stereotype, but I'm gonna leave it in as an escape hatch if someone comes up with a website called "BabyKillersforBush.com" or something ).

-Most hippies are dirty and unshaven; I know a few, and they're not all that pleasant to be around smell/sight-wise.

-Most hippies share liberal political beliefs. Haven't met a conservative hippy yet; probably one-of-his-kind if he actually exists.

The cartoon is obviously a caricature of the pro-life and pro-choice crowd, which will of course use the stereotypical images of both to represent them; otherwise, their identifications would not have been as easily conveyed to the cartoon's observer.

Though many stereotypes are untrue and unfair to many groups, they get their beginnings from many cases true to their definitions. Sad but true.

SuperFlyingLiberalToolThat last point is actually readily demonstrated in African countries where abortion is illegal so women just get abortions in back allies instead of the hospital. As a result, many die from poor operations.

I personally don't want that to happen in America.

You're going to attribute abortion operation mishaps in Africa solely to its illegality?

Do you think those women would receive even half-decent operations if abortion was legal? They can barely receive a simple vaccination that doesn't somehow result in health complications!

Healthcare in Africa sucks!

Legalizing abortion doesn't automatically mean those women receiving them now will suddenly get better healthcare as those people doing the back-alley abortions will be the same people performing the legal practice; they'll just be allowed to do it by the government for a cheaper price.

And abortion's illegality is a single factor among a myriad of others forcing those women to seek those abortions in the first place.

If you're going to try to use a real-life example, try to become a little more informed on what is actually happening with it.

Quote:No, because a funny little thing called everyone having assault rifles to keep themselves from getting robbed would happen.

Shootings would skyrocket, and at the end of the day everyone would be much less safe.

So let's not do that.

God, I hope you don't think Neosaber was actually being serious....

---