Goztow wrote on Mon, 07 November 2005 23:52icedog90 wrote on Sat, 05 November 2005 19:30There's a different Norton that is simple and works well. You guys are probably thinking of the Norton that has all of the useless shit such as anti-spyware and firewalls. I have the corporate edition, which is anti-virus and NOTHING else. I never have to do long annoying scans because it catches viruses RIGHT when you get them. It has yet to fail me, and I've been using it for over two years now.
Two years? That meanst hat if you use it legally, it has cost you 100 € now. The corporate edition is as bad as the others: it doesn't catch all virusses, catches no spyware at all and uses a lot of ressources. NOD32 costs 39 $ for a year, so that would make about 70 € for 2 years and does protect against virusses and other threats and does so while using way less ressources.

Then again, the marketing budget of Norton Antivirus is waaaaaaaaay bigger than that from NOD32, hence most people have Norton (just like Windows which was also pushed in the market).

I have it legally, for free. My dad gets it from his work completely free. And how do you know it doesn't catch all viruses? That's just a myth that you made up. Chances are you've never tried corporate, but have only heard rumors about it from people who fail to use it correctly. I don't want an anti-virus program to search for spyware, I use a different program than that, and I use Firefox. If Norton doesn't catch all of the viruses, how come I've been perfectly fine for two years without any noticeable performance hits/crashes that could come from viruses? PLEASE THINK BEFORE YOU SUBMIT YOUR POSTS, THANK YOU. Also, it uses 4mb of my 1024mb of memory. WOW, what a performance hit... not. Thanks for the advice, but I'm not taking it.