

---

Subject: Re: What really happened on 9/11  
Posted by [Kingdud](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 15:55:52 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Yea, I watched the one about how "controlled demo" destroyed WTC 1, 2, and 7. It was friggin halarious. "Steel's melting point is ~1510 degrees Celcius (he typoed the unit, he meant celcius) (~2750 degrees F)." "The maxiumum temperature of a non-compressed polycarbonate fire is ~825 degrees celcius (1517 degrees F)."

Ok, that's bullshit about the non-compressed tempterate. I live in chattanooga, near a great many gasoline distribution hubs. Hearing about a tanker truck carrying diesel or gasoline overturning and burning is not an uncommon story. You know how those fires get? 2000 degrees F easily. Some go up to 2500, one got up to 3000 (It was a REALLY windy day, it had an almost unlimited air supply).

How hot is 2000 degrees? You can melt the pain off a firetruck at 200 yards with that much heat. The windsheilds of cars melt. Both the glass and the plastic layers (glass turns liquid (depending on the forumla) around 1500 degrees F). The hoods and chassis of the cars within 100 yards of the fire were destroyed, they looked like a plastic statue after you hold a lighter up to it.

Now, back to the story. One thing these guys don't understand is that steel doesn't have to be at its melting point to become soft and unstable. Around 500 degrees, the temperature of a simple wood fire, steel starts becoming soft. Around a thousand it becomes soft enough that a hammer can reshape it fairly easily, at 1500 it becomes soft enough that (if you could stand to be close enough to hit it) you could reshape the steel at will with a small hammer.

Guess how hot jet fuel burns? About 4-6 thousand degrees (F). Yea, that's right, it gets waaaayyy hotter than 1500 degrees. This guy needs to check his facts. The fire was easily past the melting point of the steel in the building. Even if it wasn't the supposed "maximum temperature" of an oil-based fire is still hot enough to turn the steel into a very weak metal. The weight of those buildings broke the weakened beams.

As for the "side explosions", let me ask you something, when you collapse an area of space that is 10 feet high and 100 feet wide and long, where does the air go? Does it just vanish into a null area? No, it goes out, out to the sides to be more specific. Those "demo charges" that "proved" the building was brought down by controlled explosion actually prove it wasn't. That is what the air did when the floor above it collapsed in, the air went out the side, novel idea isn't it?

The reason the towers collapsed perfectly was because the hottest point of the fire was the center, which happens to be where most of the weight bearing structure of the towers was. If the government wanted to kill its own people wouldn't they have brought them down so that they fell to one side rather than collapsed on their footprint? It would kill more people.

These people are amazing. An ounce of sense tells you they are idiots. Oh well.

Peace out,

-Kingdud

---