
Subject: Re: Marijuana legalization

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Thu, 28 Jul 2005 00:11:27 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Crimson wrote on Wed, 27 July 2005 18:07 I'm still waiting to see a non-pothead advocating it being legalized... those of us who choose not to pollute our lungs and minds with that crud would prefer everyone stay off it.

What the fuck are you trying to accomplish with that point? OF COURSE advocates of marijuana legalization like marijuana. What an amazing discovery!! Hey, did you know that people who don't advocate using animal fur LIKE animals?!?! CRAZY!

Quote: Won't save money, it will just be diverted to other areas of operation. But then the same could be said if people stopped using Marijuana.

So if you had to pay \$20 a month for medicine (for example), and then you didn't need the medicine anymore and used that twenty for food, that isn't beneficial to you? The same COULD be said if people stopped using pot, but that's not realistic. That would NEVER happen, so don't bring it up as a point.

Quote: Won't get rid of dealers, they'll just move on to something else. The drug scene isn't "I want to give weed to the world", it's "I want to make money".

It will reduce the number of dealers on the street. Coke is harder to come by and fewer people are willing to risk selling it.

Quote: Edit: just as a further comment to continue what gbull wrote. Imagine how much MORE money you could have saved by not smoking Marijuana.

I don't know the exact figures, so let's make some up. Say one roll costs \$2.00. You start when you're 21, and smoke 1 a day for the rest of your life. Let's say you live to be 70...[insert numbers] Earth to warranto: if it were legal your total would be a very small percentage of that.

Quote: Legalizing all drugs could bring in even more tax dollars! Let's use this as a reason for allowing cocaine to be legal again!

Pot and coke aren't comparable drugs. There needs to be a balance between benefit to the government and harm to the people.

Let me reiterate the comparison. Weed is less harmful than alcohol, yet alcohol is legal and weed isn't.

So the comparison SHOULD be:

Assault is less harmful than murder, yet murder is legal and assault isn't.

But that's not the case because it's illogical. As is the situation with weed.

And another thing about saving money: taxpayers spend the MOST money keeping people who are convicted of weed-related crimes in jail more than any other type of convict. We could be saving BILLIONS of dollars if we didn't waste cell spaces with those people.

Quote: I do NOT FUCKING want your secondhand pot smoke, and I bet there are MILLIONS who feel the same way.

How exactly did you miss the point AGAIN that if it were to be legal it couldn't be done in a public place?

Quote:People will always murder, rape, steal, and Con. So we should make all those things legal as well I guess.

THOSE THINGS HARM OTHER PEOPLE. Comprende, Paco?

-edit-

I forget who said this, but killing pain isn't the only medicinal use. I know someone who has AIDs and uses it to increas his appetite (AIDS reduces appteite significantly, to the point of making eating a chore). It also supresses vomitting for cancer patients.