

---

Subject: Re: Marijuana legalization

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Wed, 27 Jul 2005 14:14:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hydra wrote on Tue, 26 July 2005 23:33 Just like slurred speech isn't common amongst drunkards.

From the drunkards' perspectives, at least.

Nope. Not just like that. Alcohol actually is a direct cause of slurred speech. "Stoner talk" is a stereotypical way of talking that is not only exaggerated and uncommon, it's not directly caused by pot.

Quote: You are also missing a key point. You want to legalize pot? You want to buy it at a store. Where does the store get it from? You aren't removing the drug dealers, you are just making what they do legal. Who owns the big marijuana plantations in south america? Do you think those druglords are suddenly gonna say here Walmart, you get this one. Target you get this one. No, the drugs still are purchased through them. And we're talking big money moving now. Money used to purchase weapons and mercenaries to terrorise the population of central and south america. That region would probably be more dangerous than the gaza strip.

Wrong, wrong, and wrong. If pot were to become legal in the United States, it would actually be produced here (or at the very least, by American companies). In fact, Marlboro has already copyrighted "Marlboro Greens." Why would a company want to rely on overpriced, dangerous drug lords that are inconveniently far south when they can legally grow their own supplies at fractions of the cost? Drug lords would be put out of business when huge, industrial companies have the capacity to mechanize the process because they would stand no chance of competing.

Quote: The government isn't responsible for making you feel good. They protect you and yell at you for being a dumbass. If you want a hug get one from a shallow heartless girlfriend.

The government isn't responsible for making us feel good, correct. However, I feel the government should give people the opportunity to do what they want so long as it doesn't harm or get in the way of others who don't want to take part. The government isn't responsible for making us feel good, yet they are fine with people "feeling good" with tobacco and alcohol.

Quote: Marijuana is in fact much more harmful to the body than cigs because of the fact that you smoke it unfiltered. A cig's filter really does help to get rid of some of the harmful stuff.

Wrong. Marijuana being sold on the streets doesn't have added chemicals and poisons. It's just a plant. As a scare tactic, anti-drug campaigns will tell you that dealers put chemicals like rat poison in their pot, but that's a rare occurrence (most dealers want to keep their clients happy, and won't lace their pot with things that their clients don't want). Legalizing pot will allow the pot that goes into the market to be regulated by the FDA, giving people even more assurance that the pot being sold is pure.

Tobacco being "filtered" doesn't make up for the fact that tobacco is still far more harmful to your health than pot.

Marijuana much more addictive than tobacco? How uninformed ARE you?

---