

---

Subject: Re: Supreme Court repeals 5th amendment?

Posted by [Doitle](#) on Sat, 25 Jun 2005 01:17:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hold on a second, instead of just saying this is crazy. Why not think of why someone might want to do this. Sort of get into the eyes of the other side. I live in a very small town that... Well... Sucks commercially. The taxes are high because the brunt of city services and education fall completely on the shoulders of homeowners. The reason that it has been this way was because the small time government for the most part was blocking any new construction besides housing. The taxes were cripplingly high because it's just hard to collect enough money to support everything on residential housing alone. Finally the town board had to crack and let new development in. There is a Target going in right accross the street from me, LITERALLY accross the street about 100 ft from my house. A Lowes next to it. We are getting a shopping mall near our little Airport. The commerce that is coming in will help drop the taxes accross the board for the people of the town because the revenues brought in from commerce are much much greater than from residents. In contrast we lived for a little while farther east in Illinois in a city called Chicago Ridge. It has a very low population but is a huge city. The reason is because the city is almost completely industry and commerce. Lots of factories and warehouses and a very large shopping mall. The taxes there were so much lower than they are here that it was shocking. As long as they are given just compensation I think I could see this going on without too much of a problem. You have to realize mayors and city board members are not supervillains. MWAHAHAHA OLD LADYS HOUSE!? GONE! STARBUCKS MWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!. That's not how it works. A very very good example, are when you see old houses on major roads. US30 has many little decrepit houses with driveways directly onto this 4 lane road. These are prime prime commercial locations and these houses reduce the chances a town can draw the big box developments its coffers so sorely need. If they are given just compensation I say it's for everyones good that they take that house down or move it some place else. Again it's not as diabolical as it sounds.

---