

---

Subject: Re: Quite possibly the best political cartoon ever.

Posted by [warranto](#) on Tue, 14 Jun 2005 22:53:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Tue, 14 June 2005 16:41 I'm not labelling it as killing the animal. I, personally, label it as killing the animal potentiante. Which is what needs to live to become an actual animal. That's the point of endangered species having protected eggs and such. Not because it's more ethical to not let them die. If that where the case, you couldn't buy chicken eggs at the store. But you can.

And all I'm saying is that the exact same mentality applies to all potential living things, be them endangered or not. I'm not even talking about the ethics involved, or, as this thread revolves around, whether or not abortion is wrong. All I'm saying is that the same definition of life is to be applied to everything, and not changed based on how a particular group feels about a specific subject. That there is hypocracy at its finest.

I'll restate what I previously said in a slightly different way, perhaps that will explain it better. If you are going say that destroying the egg of an endangered species is wrong because it stops the potential life of something, then the same mentality has to be applied to humans/chickens/whatever as far as what the definitaion of life is. Not whether it is right or wrong to do the act, but what "life" is defined as. Then, from that definition, it can be argued whether or not the act is something good or bad; be it human life, california condor life, or chicken life.

---