

---

Subject: Re: Quite possibly the best political cartoon ever.

Posted by [kinghigh1](#) on Mon, 13 Jun 2005 21:34:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warranto wrote on Mon, 13 June 2005 16:25 SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Mon, 13 June 2005 16:52 warranto wrote on Mon, 13 June 2005 16:18 Missed the point. The comic is dependant on what is defined by "life". If something is still undeveloped as is the egg in the comic, and is not deemed to be human/animal/living/whatever, then it should be fine to eat the egg. If it is not, because the animal will eventually develop into the animal (as the character suggests), then the same wrong can be placed with human embryos as well.

Well, that's what the comic is trying to say, but not what the real issue is. Environmentalists who protect species aren't interested in the moral issues of eating a developing embryo. It's about letting eggs develop to replenish the species. Which is not the issue of abortionists.

Additionally, ACK, how do you know tree hugger pinko commies don't support pro-Life positions? Maybe they're a sect of liberalism that does endorse anti-abortion. Because such a thing does exist. Maybe you're generalizing a bit too much. Because you are.

This has nothing to do with environmentalists. It brings up the idea about "when life begins". Those eggs have the potential to become life (in this case, replenishing a near-extinct species), so the same could be said about any embryos, human or animal, endangered or not.

LMAO, Proving somebody wrong again.

---