

---

Subject: Re: OT: Evolution vs Creationism

Posted by [GoArmy44](#) on Tue, 07 Jun 2005 01:03:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SuperFlyingEngi wrote on Mon, 06 June 2005 18:37GoArmy44 wrote on Sat, 04 June 2005 19:24I am not too big on science, but I know what spontaneous generation is, and saying that life came from a lightning strike and some gas kinda disproves thier agrument.

So you know, the primordial soup theory and spontaneous generation are two different things.

- Spontaneous Generation is the idea that, for instance, maggots can appear on rotting meat. Francisco Redi disproved this, if you care.

- The primordial soup theory states that chemically-laden soup way back when the earth was quite different was hit by lightning, producing enough amino acids to produce protocells. Amino acids produced by lightning has been proven in laboratory conditions. To be fair, you can make just about anything you want by shocking a chemical liquid with lightning.

On the topic of this movie, I got about 1/6 through it. I've seen all this before. They bend random scientific snippets, like the second law of thermodynamics into having different meanings.

I wonder what Steven Jay Gould thinks of this.

So, the primordial soup theory says that life comes from non-living substances?

spontaneous generation

n : a hypothetical organic phenomenon by which living organisms are created from nonliving matter [syn: abiogenesis, autogenesis, autogeny]