

---

Subject: Whoo! Go death!!!!111!!1one!11

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Mon, 28 Feb 2005 22:58:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NodbuggerWell what people say these things are used for is to get people to admit to things. Even if they didn't do them. The guy obviously cannot get the death penalty under any law. So if he knew saying he was a terrorist would have gotten him off with a lighter sentence than why didn't he just say it then come his time in court he can change his mind. Could it be he was a terrorist? And denying he was going to do these things to his enemies took away the point of being one? Being tortured ,didn't he die as he wanted to, a martyr?

That's why I pointed out the timeframe. If it was around the time of the other tortures, then it would seem quite futile to admit anything-- especially if you knew the ones tortured where in fact terrorists themselves. If the man killed saw the other tortures take place, and to people he knew were innocent, then well, admitting to being a terrorist probably would've had a much worse fate.

But we don't know because we're not given enough information, and that is why I questioned whether or not anyone would call this man a terrorist without being able to prove it.

---