

---

Subject: Whoo! Go death!!!!111!!1one!11

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Mon, 28 Feb 2005 22:46:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JavaxcxConsidering he hasn't been deemed a terrorist, what do you think?

Since neither of us know for certain, but he didn't admit to one (as far as we know, because he was, and is still considered merely a suspect), the probability lies closer to the "I'm not a terrorist" bit.

But then again, would you admit to being a terrorist, even while being tortured? Not only that, we don't know when this situation actually took place in correlation to with the other tortures. Admitting to being a terrorist PROBABLY wouldn't have changed anything about his situation, and in all likelihood would have amplified it.

So I'd bet he said that he wasn't a terrorist. Unless of course you can prove otherwise.

Well what people say these things are used for is to get people to admit to things. Even if they didn't do them. The guy obviously cannot get the death penalty under any law. So if he knew saying he was a terrorist would have gotten him off with a lighter sentence than why didn't he just say it then come his time in court he can change his mind. Could it be he was a terrorist? And denying he was going to do these things to his enemies took away the point of being one? Being tortured ,didn't he die as he wanted to, a martyr?

---