

---

Subject: Slightly less ridiculous than the "gay-bomb"...

Posted by [PointlessAmbler](#) on Wed, 02 Feb 2005 03:22:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Sir Phoenix1 don't really think it would be practical for use with machine guns patrolling/assaulting/etc., a soldier can easily move around obstacles, climb walls, ladders, etc., while the little robot can't. Until they can come up with Terminator like robots, actual soldiers will be the better choice.

Now, for something like assassination where the robot would just need to sit on the side of a mountain with a heavy sniper rifle and wait for the target to come out, it would be much better than actual people.

Sure, a soldier is more useful, but I think they wanted to use this as a simple armed patrol device that could potentially save the lives of some US soldiers. One destroyed robot is a lot less of a loss than one dead soldier.

---