

---

Subject: The Media Bias Thread

Posted by [msgtpain](#) on Mon, 24 Jan 2005 02:11:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Okay, so the nine million page "thesis paper" that you don't want to read or acknowledge, is more misleading than a Web site with this as the first paragraph on their "About" page?

Quote:Who We Are

Media Matters for America is a Web-based, not-for-profit progressive research and information center dedicated to comprehensively monitoring, analyzing, and correcting conservative misinformation in the U.S. media. Conservative misinformation is defined as news or commentary presented in the media that is not accurate, reliable, or credible and that forwards the conservative agenda.

Why are you attempting to "twist" what the argument was about in to something else that you can attempt to save face with, rather than just state you didn't read it carefully enough?

We're not here arguing the "demographics" of the polls, or whether or not they even existed.. You specifically stated that the Web site was "retarded" because you claimed it said one thing in the opening paragraph and something different in the poll data. <- That is not true, and I've shown you a half dozen quotes from the same page to prove it.

This isn't a "my page is better than your page" debate. You immediately discredited the biased Web site I produced to counter the biased Web site you produced, and now you can't even argue your original point of why.

Got milk?

---