
Subject: What the "left" thinks of the "red states&quo
Posted by **IRON FART** on Thu, 20 Jan 2005 07:33:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

No they are not. They have officially announced that. It took them a while though. Tony Blair admitted this about last july in an official report.

Let me just bring something to your attention.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A47812-2004Jun16.html>

I'll just emphasize the first paragraph.

Quote:

The Sept. 11 commission reported yesterday that it has found no "collaborative relationship" between Iraq and al Qaeda, challenging one of the Bush administration's main justifications for the war in Iraq.

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/3118262.stm>

Quote:

We have no evidence that Saddam Hussein was involved with the 11 September attacks
President Bush
(Take a look at the little beige box at the beginning)

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/3119676.stm>

Well, if Bush's administration states this, then am I to believe the biased article?

Sure, Hussein has funded terrorism before, but he did not play a part in 9/11. I'm sure he loved to watch it, but he didn't play a part in it. Especially not fund it seeing as how loaded Osama is.

That link did not put anyone to shame.

Theres tons more info about the absence of the Hussein 9/11 link. Google it or use Yahoo or Reuters.
