

---

Subject: This is one of the saddest and amzaing things i've seen

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 24 Dec 2004 19:58:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]Im sorry, where was the Punctuation that signifies the question? even so, They rarely equate to the crime because the law on Capital Punishment does not despose of Criminals the way the Criminals Despose of the Victims.

You obviously found the question yourself. Now, what you have just said does not change the fact that the punishment rarely ever equate to the crime. That is, when you steal a car, the government or the defendants do not get to steal YOUR car. However, when you kill someone, suddenly that statement rings true. Like I said before, you have a double standard for justice, and that does not make sense with what the courts are SUPPOSED to be.

JavaxcxI hate our policy for the Death Sentence, I think its stupid to poke someone with a needle, and its all over. Wut i believe should happen is if you are convicted of murder, You are executed the way you killed and that it would be done the day after conviction. That would deter. I will admit that the needle does nothing, but say if you shot someone to kill them - u get shot.

So you are in favour of legalized revenge? If someone tortures and kills someone, does that mean that the defendants should be given legal authority to do the same thing? That is not justice. I should remind you that the deseased are the actual and definate victims in a murder, and the defendants are those who substitute in his place and recieve the reparitions. "Fairness" the way you're suggesting it would only be valid if the deceased could kill the murderer. Which, we both know is ridiculous.

So maybe you would like to explain to me how it is "fair" for someone who is not the deceased to have the authority in ANY sense to take a life based on someone else's actions.

Quote:Also, you seem to miss the point that prison should not be a place for murderers, but for lesser crimes. Prison Costs the taxpayers money and to waste it on someone who is mentally incurable is absurd, axe him and be done with it.

You are making the assumption that they are mentally incurable and cannot contribute to society in any possible way in prison. Something prisoners of all natures are allowed to do in controlled ways.

Quote:Thats where your wrong, the point I was trying to make was this: Upon Killing someone they have decided that they should be executed.

No, you're not understanding me. When a jury suggests a sentence to a judge, they have the option of killing a murderer or allowing him to go to prison for life with stipulations. It is this choice, like ANY choice, that bears responsibility and fault. Therefore, whether you want to acknowledge it or not, it IS the court's fault for sentencing a man to death. Just like it would be the court's fault for sending a man to prison, or setting him free. The FAULT is on the court.

Quote:Punishment(In my mind) is paying for a wrong you have commited. You cant do that in jail.

If you are paying for a wrong you have committed, then who gains something from your "payment"? Think about that one.

Quote:Feeling is what Separates you from the computer you type on. Also, a computer can be killed slowly by means of a virus. Other computers were used to make anti-viruses. Anti-Viruses Delete Viruses so they dont hurt any other computers. Why do say this? Its a metaphor for the Court System run in its most efficient form and without feeling.

A court is not like a computer, and a computer is not like an objective body. A court, a judge, a jury and the lot are called to be an OBJECTIVE THIRD PARTY in a dispute. This means that while feelings and prejudices can play a part in a sentencing or verdict, they are not SUPPOSED to.

Quote:You see even judgement without feeling can determine that these people are a hostile to the society, and should not be in it any longer. This may be cold, but its the truth.

Actually, you're wrong. Judgement needs to be dealt without feeling. If it were, "feelings" can determine the severity of the punishment, and this is what is important: the lack thereof. That isn't fairness, or justice at all.

Quote:And are you stating that you dont think she did it? Whats your evidence?

I think it is probable that she did do it. Do I know for certain she did or she didn't. That is a matter for the courts to decide and my prejudices are irrelevant. Just like yours.

Quote:Try a better quote like "eye for an eye".

Who do you think takes the other eye and what do you think justifies that?

Quote:Java, rebuttle if you want but im tired of talking to a Machine. Thats what you are when you dont let feeling take some part in your reasoning. You gave yourself that title, not me.

I don't think of myself as a machine, so you must have given me that title yourself. I know what my feelings tell me, and I know that what I can reason goes beyond the trivial nature of those feelings. If you cannot separate the two, well, I pity you.

---