
Subject: This is one of the saddest and amzaing things i've seen

Posted by [Jecht](#) on Fri, 24 Dec 2004 18:39:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

JavaxcxAnd here is another place where your argument falls apart. No one does in fact get anything out of killing the accused. You have repeatedly used this deterrent ideology to support the death penalty. You've also tied it into the "axiom" that says the punishment must equal the crime. Now, if that is true, then perhaps you would like to explain to me why punishments rarely, if ever equate to the crime. Oh, and before you start on a tangant that says "OMG I DIDNT SAY DAT JAVXI", it has been a constant implication I've inferred out of your entire argument.

JavaxcxYou didn't answer my question.

Im sorry, where was the Punctuation that signifies the question? even so, They rarely equate to the crime because the law on Capital Punishment does not despose of Criminals the way the Criminals Despose of the Victims.

Javaxcxl suggest you read this article. It give very good information for both our arguments, and the effect of this so called "deterent policy".

Nice Article, for BOTH our arguments, no. But interesting to say the least and I'm sure the article has factual information and is not that bias(aside from some of the polls in it). I dont think you completely know where I stand on the Death Sentence. I hate our policy for the Death Sentence, I think its stupid to poke someone with a needle, and its all over. Wut i believe should happen is if you are convicted of murder, You are executed the way you killed and that it would be done the day after conviction. That would deter. I will admit that the needle does nothing, but say if you shot someone to kill them - u get shot. Also, you seem to miss the point that prison should not be a place for murderers, but for lesser crimes. Prison Costs the taxpayers money and to waste it on someone who is mentally incurable is absurd, axe him and be done with it.

JavaxcxAnd what if the courts are wrong? They can and have been wrong, you know. The way you want it, I promise you that you would be doing the very same thing that you are so against. warrantoSImple solution.

Have a death penalty, with the two conditions.

1. The standard of proof must be higher, from "beyond a reasonable doubt" to "absolute certainty". After all, if a mistake is made, it's much better to release a live person than to explain how you have killed an innocent person.

2. Have the jury (or Judge) personably responsible for the decision to put the accused to death. This way, if a mistake is made, the state is off the hook for the death of the innocent, and those responsible for putting him to death can take restitutive responsibility.

I said I agreed with this, and I wasn't kidding when I said it.

JavaxcxIt IS the court's fault they decided on the death penalty. The very vocabulary you used proved that. They DECIDED on it. It is therefore their responsability and their fault they chose it when they could have chosen life without parole.

Thats where your wrong, the point I was trying to make was this: Upon Killing someone they have decided that they should be executed.

Javacx Before I voice my own opinion on this, let me ask you a question. What is a punishment? And don't cite me dictionary definitions.

Punishment(In my mind) is paying for a wrong you have committed. You cant do that in jail.

Javacx You're right, that IS the difference between you and I. I don't let my feelings cloud what should be an objective JUDGEMENT. Objectively, the victim doesn't exist anymore and the suspect does. Objectively, it is hypocritical of the courts to establish a double standard on this kind of case in the name of justice. Objectively, how much you hate the person mustn't allow you to seek hateful revenge instead of justice. What you and others don't seem to realize is that what I feel, you do NOT know. And for all you know, and it is probable, that I feel the same way about this woman as you do. I merely have the sense and patience to consider the situation from a hateless perspective. Something others here do not.

Feeling is what Seperates you from the computer you type on. Also, a computer can be killed slowly by means of a virus. Other computers were used to make anti-viruses. Anti-Viruses Delete Viruses so they dont hurt any other computers. Why do say this? Its a metaphor for the Court System run in its most efficient form and without feeling. The Anti-Virus is representative of the perfect court System and the Virus that of the Criminal. You see even judgement without feeling can determine that these people are a hostile to the society, and should not be in it any longer. This may be cold, but its the truth.

Javacx Oh really? Your first post in this thread was:
gbullsicko, two words: Electric Chair.

and yes my first post was that i thought she should get the Death Penalty, and thats the closest form of justice(although its a long shot) that could befall her. And you very well know I meant after the trial. And are you stating that you dont think she did it? Whats your evidence? And if it comes to be that she didnt do it, Then whoever did should get the chair. You see how that works? Im an equal opportunity justice distributor. You think im cold, thats your opinion.

Javacx Well, last time I checked, the deceased could not be brought back to life. The deed is done. The question is whether you are going to do the exact same thing and devalue a human life the way the murderer did. You are what you eat?

Try a better quote like "eye for an eye". And as i stated before, the Courts dont devalue the life of the murderer, the murderer does when they commit the act.

With that, I'm going to leave this thread and let it die, seems this is going nowhere, if someone else wants to say something go ahead. Java, rebuttle if you want but im tired of talking to a Machine. Thats what you are when you dont let feeling take some part in your reasoning. You gave yourself that title, not me.

Javacx I don't let my feelings cloud what should be an objective JUDGEMENT. Objectively, the victim doesn't exist anymore and the suspect does.
