## Subject: This is one of the saddest and amzaing things i've seen Posted by Jecht on Fri, 24 Dec 2004 14:17:49 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxAnd here is another place where your argument falls apart. No one does in fact get anything out of killing the accused. You have repeatedly used this deterent ideology to support the death penalty. You've also tied it into the "axiom" that says the punishment must equal the crime. Now, if that is true, then perhaps you would like to explain to me why punishments rarely, if ever equate to the crime. Oh, and before you start on a tangant that says "OMG I DIDNT SAY DAT JAVXI", it has been a constant implication I've inferred out of your entire argument.

on the contrary, you are the one that believes in the punishment not equating the crime. They kill, so they should pay with their life. Sounds fair to me. Dont do the crime if you cant do the time, and in a World with capital punishment, its an eternity.

JavaxcxNow I want you to consider the psycology of a murderer. Especially those who are habitual. Do you really HONESTLY think that legal deterents will play any role in tempting one of these people to not commit the act? This woman in this case, if she is in fact guilty is a perfect example. Do you think she gives a damn that she could in fact be killed as a result of this murder? If you do, well, heh. I'm not sure what I can say to that. You would leave me speechless.

In this lady, possibly not. But if an example is made of her then it will deter future murders. Think 5 minutes past the present will ya? Not to mention Habitual murderers are the ones that CANNOT be cured of their own sick mind anyhow, so what is the purpose of keeping them in prison and making the taxpayers pay for them to live?

JavaxcxFurthemore, in relation to your free room and board argument: I'm sure you must be aware that people placed on death row stay and live in prison often for terms longer than a standard life sentence before finally being executed. The only difference between the death penalty and life sentences without parole is that you're in fact taking their lives in stead of allowing nature to do it. To put in current events terms, I think you're going to find that Scott Peterson will die in prison long before any death sentence can be carried out.

In no place did I say that i agreed with the way we handle the death penalty when it is dealt. I would rather have the murderer die the way they killed the day AFTER they are declared guilty. Hows that for fair.

JavaxcxIn an ideal world free of the hypocrisy of the purpose of the courts and the law, Warranto's second option is more logically feasible. That doesn't mean I support the taking of anyone's life in a meditated fashion (and yes, most murder and all capital punishment are premeditated).

No shit, how do think punishments should be carried out? without trial? of course capital punishment is pre-meditated, what other way is there to do it? You make it sound as if its the COURTS fault they decided on the death penalty when its the murder's decision ultimately.

JavaxcxOn a side note, and this may not affect you directly, but it is targetted at those who have condemned this woman in question before she has even had a trial and in fact been PROVEN guilty (oh, and because our Nodbugger-in-training friend here will undoubtably jump to the

inaccurate conclusion that I defend murders, I would like to remind you that your country, and mine both believe and practice the saying "Innocent until proven guilty"). More or less, this is targetted at those like Cowmisfit and his ilk: Why are you so eager to dish out death? It makes you wonder who really values what a human life is worth.

I never said she didnt deserve a fair trial, everone is entitled to one, and I also never said you defended murders. I just said the way you think murders should be punished isnt fair. It isnt fair to those that were murdered. You think they got trial? You think they had a say in the matter on whether they would live or die? You dont defend murderers but i will say that they are the only people you think about, while I think about the victim. Thats the difference between you and me, but ill just leave you to think about that for a little while. Also, I dont know how to take that nodbugger thing since i dont know him, but i couldnt give a shit about what he thinks. I think for myself. Also, im not "eager" to dish out death, but I am eager to dish out justice. As far as valuing a human life, try valuing the deceased, instead thinking of the murderer all the time.