

---

Subject: This is one of the saddest and amzaing things i've seen

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 24 Dec 2004 01:39:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

=[DT=gbull=[L]=]im sorry but a simple, "No one gets anything out of this deal" is not a case to boast about java. My case is that it is setting an example saying: If u kill, u will be dead". That in it self will stop potential murderers. Putting them in a prison does NOTHING. u give them free food, board, electricity, water. ITS A FREAKIN RETIREMENT HOME.

And here is another place where your argument falls apart. No one does in fact get anything out of killing the accused. You have repeatedly used this deterrent ideology to support the death penalty. You've also tied it into the "axiom" that says the punishment must equal the crime. Now, if that is true, then perhaps you would like to explain to me why punishments rarely, if ever equate to the crime. Oh, and before you start on a tangant that says "OMG I DIDNT SAY DAT JAVXI", it has been a constant implication I've inferred out of your entire argument.

Now I want you to consider the psycology of a murderer. Especially those who are habitual. Do you really HONESTLY think that legal deterrents will play any role in tempting one of these people to not commit the act? This woman in this case, if she is in fact guilty is a perfect example. Do you think she gives a damn that she could in fact be killed as a result of this murder? If you do, well, heh. I'm not sure what I can say to that. You would leave me speechless.

Furthemore, in relation to your free room and board argument: I'm sure you must be aware that people placed on death row stay and live in prison often for terms longer than a standard life sentence before finally being executed. The only difference between the death penalty and life sentences without parole is that you're in fact taking their lives in stead of allowing nature to do it. To put in current events terms, I think you're going to find that Scott Peterson will die in prison long before any death sentence can be carried out.

In an ideal world free of the hypocrisy of the purpose of the courts and the law, Warranto's second option is more logically feasible. That doesn't mean I support the taking of anyone's life in a meditated fashion (and yes, most murder and all capital punishment are premeditated).

On a side note, and this may not affect you directly, but it is targetted at those who have condemned this woman in question before she has even had a trial and in fact been PROVEN guilty (oh, and because our Nodbugger-in-training friend here will undoubtably jump to the inaccurate conclusion that I defend murders, I would like to remind you that your country, and mine both believe and practice the saying "Innocent until proven guilty"). More or less, this is targetted at those like Cowmisfit and his ilk: Why are you so eager to dish out death? It makes you wonder who really values what a human life is worth.

---