
Subject: AirForce2003 Aircraftkiller NO RELATION
Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Tue, 08 Apr 2003 19:15:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Here you are, champ. Several sites, all defining invalid. I see none of which which support your own claim.

<http://encarta.msn.com/encnet/features/dictionary/DictionaryResults.aspx?refid=1861622187>

Here, you have many definations, not saying "physical" in any of which. Of course, it can be interpreted as such, but then again, so can mental.

<http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=invalids>

Hmm... Looks like the same situation here aswell!

<http://www.bartleby.com/61/26/I0212600.html>

Well, looks like a shine of hope for you yet! But wait, oh dear, looks like that is intended for a transitive verb. Not the noun that I had used in reference to him.

<http://www.askoxford.com/dictionary/invalid1>

Hmm, yet another definition that doesn't add the word "physical" into its definition.

Well, it turns out that you are about as "correct" as I am. It neither states mental, or physical in the same context of which I used it. I guess that means that it is open to interpretation? Mental of physical? Both?

Hmm...
