

---

Subject: New Presidential Debate Thread.

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sun, 10 Oct 2004 16:11:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

SuperFlyingEngicowmisfitBush did not tell any lies, or at least any more than Kerry or any other politician. He DID NOT lie to the nation. British intelligence, Russian intelligence, Chinese intelligence and American intelligence ALL SAID nukes were in Iraq, that's pretty damn sure there they were and THEY WERE THERE they were shipped out before the war or still in the ground somewhere.

What about the Duelfer report that says that Saddam was in no way able to procure WMDs, and hadn't had them since roughly 1991? Unless we were working on intelligence 13+ years old, Bush was lying.

<http://www.thisislondon.com/news/articles/13656141?source=Evening%20Standard>

Quote: The author of the final report into Iraqi weapons of mass destruction threw Tony Blair and George Bush a lifeline today by saying the world was "better off" without Saddam Hussein.

Quote: But giving evidence to the Senate armed services committee, he supported the military campaign. "The world is better off," he said.

Quote: He said Saddam had made "a lot of progress" in eroding sanctions to the point at which he could begin building such weapons again - in fact it was the terror attacks of September 11 that undermined his plans.

Quote: The report reveals how Saddam breached sanctions by abusing the oil-for-food programme. Instead of ensuring oil from Iraq was used to buy food and medicine for its people, the CIA-sponsored report alleges he spent millions bribing UN staff and foreign governments.

No he wasn't.

---