

---

Subject: The WMD and terrorist ties that didn't exist...or did they?

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2004 22:54:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

ACTUALLY, the only people who 'lied' (and even that is loosely based) is the CIA on the initial information. The president acting on that misinformation (unless he actually KNEW about it, something that can never be proven) is not technically his fault. HOWEVER: It IS his responsibility to deal with, and suffer the consequences of acting on misinformation.

It's not very fair, but isn't that kind of axiom part of the conservative mandate anyway?

As for Iraq being a threat: lol, yeah. If Iraq was a threat to America, then America is a threat to Iran and North Korea, and therefore, it would (at least according to the recurring pro-war logic that you people tend to spew out) legally justify them launching a pre-emptive attack on America. They'd fail, obviously, but it couldn't be called terrorism if what America did to Iraq's sovereignty isn't.

Thing is, Nodbugger, Iraq was not, and never was even considered an imminent threat. There was absolutely NO evidence saying that Iraq would attack America March 20th, 2003, or frankly, any time in the foreseeable future. And now you're in a mess that America can't get out of any time soon. Sure, there are some pretty good things, IMO, that have happened in Iraq. There are also a great deal of pretty bad things too. The problem with you is, you're living in a happy little bubble where you can brush the bad and hypocritical aside while focusing on the happy and glamorous. I'm sure glad that the soldiers on the floor don't have YOU watching their backs.

---