
Subject: Zell Miller's an ass.

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 08 Sep 2004 23:10:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yeah, that's funny... most Democrats voted against Civil Rights... now they walk around acting like they're the black man's only hope. :rolleyes:

SuperFlyingLibZell MillerBut don't waste your breath telling that to the leaders of my party today. In their warped way of thinking, America is the problem, not the solution....what does this mean? Wouldn't Republicans be closer to this way of thinking, like when Ronald Reagan called government the problem, not the solution? Oh well, it doesn't make any sense.

Have you, or have you not, said that we are the reason that the terrorists hate us? Because I can assure you that a lot of the world and most liberals believe it. You're trying to relate this very erroneously.

Zell Miller is saying that the left believes that our problems with the terrorists are our own fault for being who we are. Reagan said that domestically, government was more of a problem than a solution. The right believes that government is too large and needs to be downsized. They believe the federal government needs to revert some of its authorities and oversight back to the states where it is Constitutionally mandated that the responsibilities lie.

So, in conclusion, Zell Miller is speaking of foreign affairs, Reagan was speaking of domestic affairs. The federal government is, in fact, Constitutionally responsible for the common defense, so this IS their territory.

SuperFlyingLibYeah, and Bill Clinton didn't believe that when he told Richard Clarke to make a comprehensive plan for eliminating Al Qaeda.

Talk is cheap. Where's the action? Clinton had 8 years and he didn't do much... if he had, September 11th, 2001 would have been just another happy Tuesday.

SuperflyingLibZell MillerJohn Kerry, who says he doesn't like outsourcing, wants to outsource our national security.Blah blah blah.

Why did you even write this? It makes you look like an idiot because you couldn't bother to refute it. What he is succinctly referring to is the fact that Kerry would want the UN to approve any use of our military.

SuperFlyingEngiZell MillerAs a war protester, Kerry blamed our military.No he didn't. He came back and tried to stop the war. John Kerry was blaming our reasons for being in the war, never the troops. Saying he blamed the military is such a despicable thing to say.

Excuse me?! Have you not heard his testimony before Congress where he accused his fellow soldiers of dispicable acts against humanity in Vietnam? Electrodes on genitals? Ring a bell? You can hardly dispute that this testimony played a major part in how badly the veterans were treated when they returned from Vietnam. And by the way, we never declared war in Vietnam. It was a conflict.

SuperFlyingEngiZell MillerFrom John Kerry, they get a "yes/no/maybe" bowl of mush that can only encourage our enemies and confuse our friends. Here he goes saying John Kerry's leadership would only encourage terrorist attacks. By the way, today Cheney came out and said that if John Kerry is elected, there will immediately be a massive terrorist attack.

http://abcnews.go.com/wire/Politics/ap20040907_956.html

Hello, kettle? This is pot. You are black.

Kerry said, in his new ad, "George Bush's wrong choices have weakened us here at home."

Cheney said, "It's absolutely essential that eight weeks from today, on Nov. 2, we make the right choice, because if we make the wrong choice then the danger is that we'll get hit again and we'll be hit in a way that will be devastating from the standpoint of the United States."

I ask you, how is this accusation ANY different than what Cheney said? Kerry says "Bush made wrong choices that made us weak". Cheney says "If Kerry is elected, he will make wrong choices that will make us weak."

I'm also wondering how we're weak? I mean... for the sake of argument... let's say Bush is making bad decisions to defend us. I mean, I can see your justification. These terrorists have been hitting us left and right. We've lost more planes... there have been chemical attacks in public buildings and at sporting events... Americans are living in fear just waiting for the next attack because they have been constant ever since we started the War on Terror.

OK, now back to reality... we have people already saying "shut up about 9/11 already"... no one is scared any more. There hasn't been any attacks on our homeland since 9/11. If Bush's policies have made us weak, where is the evidence of this alleged weakness?

I just can't understand it...

SuperFlyingLibZell MillerFaint-hearted self-indulgence will put at risk all we care about in this world. There, he's calling John Kerry a sissy.

Awww... poor baby. Don't dish it out if you can't take it.

SuperFlyingEngiHe's also saying that because of his racist record back in the day. Look at j_ball's uninformed poster - Miller was one of the Democrats who voted No for the Civil Rights Act. Although that was maybe 40 years or so ago, so whatever. Let's not live in the past.

Yeah, and Vietnam was over 30 years ago... let's not live in the past. You had no problem with Clinton being a draft dodger, now you hail Kerry's glorious 4 months of service. It's OK if Clinton dodged the draft because that was so many decades ago, but we'd better attack Bush for allegedly missing part of his National Guard duty. Hypocrite?
