
Subject: Zell Miller's an ass.

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Tue, 07 Sep 2004 21:29:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

msgtpain He answered this statement in the opening 3 minutes of his speech. He stated very clearly that "A whole new generation of Miller's have been born since I stood in this same place over a decade ago." and then he further went on to state that "my family is more important than my party." Did he flip-flop? Maybe so. I'm not arguing that, but that doesn't make him a liar, his priorities have changed due to the change in his family and he now feels that GW Bush is the leader he feels most comfortable with protecting that family.

He's also saying that because of his rascist record back in the day. Look at j_ball's uninformed poster - Miller was one of the Democrats who voted No for the Civil Rights Act. Although that was maybe 40 years or so ago, so whatever. Let's not live in the past.

msgtpain Since I am most certain that you were not watching his last speech when you were 2, I can only assume that this is simply a direct quote from the source you quoted.. Have you actually watched internet footage of that speech? or read the transcripts? Like I said, your hatred with Zell Miller seems more of just a regurgitation of the propoganda that a liberal reporter spewed in their column; I have a hard time believing that you "share" these opinions based on actual events which you were a part of or even have heard for yourself and evaluated. If I am wrong here, then I'm sure you'll be explaining how he was "much less harsh" in 1992.

<http://freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/1205981/posts>

It really is a lot nicer than his 2004 speech.

msgtpain Please expound.. I'm having a hard time understanding this comment; unless you and I watched a completely different speech. Did he have a few harsh words? sure. But it was very clear that he was questioning "where the Democrats he used to know" have gone. I guess you could say he is "flip-flopping" again, as he is questioning the true colors of the party he grew up believing in and fighting for.

A few harsh words is an understatement. He got up and scowled and started ranting about Kerry. Things like this:

Zell MillerBut don't waste your breath telling that to the leaders of my party today. In their warped way of thinking, America is the problem, not the solution.

...what does this mean? Wouldn't Republicans be closer to this way of thinking, like when Ronald Reagan called government the problem, not the solution? Oh well, it doesn't make any sense.

Zell MillerThey don't believe there is any real danger in the world except that which America brings upon itself through our clumsy and misguided foreign policy.

Yeah, and Bill Clinton didn't believe that when he told Richard Clarke to make a comprehensive plan for eliminating Al Qaeda.

Zell Miller Together, Kennedy and Kerry have opposed the very weapons system that won the Cold War and that are now winning the war on terror.

This right here is such a lie. When they say he voted against all those weapons systems, he didn't vote against individual ones. He voted against the Defense Appropriations bill, which is the cumulative armed forces spending bill. Several times, the bill has had to have been vetoed because it has so much pork on it, like the Robert Bird Community College, or some random bridge, or something. The biggest piece of pork in there at one point was a retroactive tax fix that affected one company. Guess which company it was? Enron. Retroactively, Enron would have gotten back 250 million dollars in taxes. Bills like those need to be vetoed, and sent back to a committee to be re-built. Now, there were some weapons systems that come up on individual bills, like when the F-15 became out-dated, and needed to be cut, it would come up on a bill, and everyone would vote to cut funding to the plane because it was no longer needed. In the case of the F-15, the Defense Secretary who put it on the chopping block was none other than Dick Cheney. And Senator Kerry has supported over 6.4 trillion in defense spending over the years, more than twice the amount of all other countries in the world combined. How weak on defense can he be?

Zell Miller U.S. forces armed with what? Spit balls?

Meta-furr.

Zell Miller John Kerry, who says he doesn't like outsourcing, wants to outsource our national security.

Blah blah blah.

Zell Miller For more than 20 years, on every one of the great issues of freedom and security, John Kerry has been more wrong, more weak and more wobbly than any other national figure.

By golly, we never needed those 6.4 trillion in military spending over the years!

Zell Miller As a war protester, Kerry blamed our military.

No he didn't. He came back and tried to stop the war. John Kerry was blaming our reasons for being in the war, never the troops. Saying he blamed the military is such a despicable thing to say.

Zell Miller From John Kerry, they get a "yes/no/maybe" bowl of mush that can only encourage our enemies and confuse our friends.

Here he goes saying John Kerry's leadership would only encourage terrorist attacks. By the way, today Cheney came out and said that if John Kerry is elected, there will immediately be a massive terrorist attack.

http://abcnews.go.com/wire/Politics/ap20040907_956.html

Zell Miller Faint-hearted self-indulgence will put at risk all we care about in this world.

There, he's calling John Kerry a sissy.

msgtpainHe did make this comment, and to be honest, I'm not sure what he was referring to, as I don't have any knowledge to the speech he is referring to, I will have to do some research on it.

Well, I'm not a great believer in Chris Matthews, because he rarely actually plays Hardball, but, I mean, who challenges someone to a duel?

msgtpainThis is one of those "I'm going to make a fenceline comment that can't get me in trouble either way." John Kerry has made it absolutely factual that the president abused the power that was given to him, then out of the other side of his mouth, he states that he would never expect the president to do anything but act in the way that they feel that they need to. It's a circular argument.. Either you believe that the President has and had the authority to do what he did, or you argue that he acted irresponsibly and abused his power. All I hear is that "I want the ability to make those decisions myself also if I'm president" .. so what's his beef with Bush.

That Bush made bad decisions. John Kerry saying he will exert presidential power to make sure the country is safe doesn't mean that he can't say someone else wielded the aforementioned power irresponsibly.

msgtpainI never heard him say this at all.. and to me, his speech seemed honest and from the heart.. He was pissed off that his brother Democrats are acting the way they are..

Alright, i exaggerated the baby killer part, but still, look at what he said about John Kerry's record on defense. It's all a lie.

msgtpainwhich is why he took the time out of his life to help the people at the RNC understand what his opinion was about "The other side".. He believes that the Republicans have a better candidate, and he gave 100 reasons why.

I count 6 reasons. All at the very end of his speech. Most of it was dedicated to attacking John Kerry.

msgtpainIf someone came to a democratic convention and started spewing about how Bush and Cheney were a bunch of 'ol boys club Oil rednecks that think they can do whatever they want, and make their buddies richer, you wouldn't be standing up cheering? I find that laughable, actually.

Not if they were all scowly and slanderous, I wouldn't be cheering. Speeches to cheer for are ones like Al Sharpton's speech at the DNC this year. That was a great speech.

EDIT: Grammatical Errors.
