

---

Subject: The Ultimate Iraq War thread

Posted by [Javafx](#) on Wed, 01 Sep 2004 14:22:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

This misinterpretation on Nodbugger's part is something else that needs to be addressed:

NodbuggerSomeone was putting words into his mouth.

Quote: On your first question, let me say that this is an issue that the Council debated and considered for a long period. There have been divisions, and we cannot overlook that. Those divisions and issues -- positions of principle that governments and individuals took -- are a matter for the record. I do not think that the resolution that the Council adopted last week is going to change the history of the recent past. However, the Council has given us a solid and a legal basis for our operations in Iraq, and I think at this stage that all the Council members are focused on what they can do to help Iraq and the Iraqi people -- and I think that should be our focus and our emphasis. I think if we pursue our actions on that basis, we will be able to make a difference.

Because as he says here , it does not matter to him.

You do realize that the Secretary General is not part of the Coalition of the Willing, right? Hell, his own country, Ghana, is not a member of the Coalition of the Willing. What you have highlighted, right there, is Annan saying that the United Nations has a solid and legal base to accomplish their objectives which-- as it might be a surprise to you-- are not those of the Coalition of the Willing. I suggest you read subsequent resolutions past 1441 to find out what those objectives are.

Note how he states "at this stage", as in "at the moment" or "at this point" (I have to cover a variety of venues because your literacy skills lack an unbelievable amount of discipline). He has prioritized how the Iraqi situation needs to be dealt with (for the United Nations, not the Coalition of the Willing), and just like any intelligent person, he understands that the Coalition can't stop now otherwise Iraq will fail and collapse on itself as a new sovereignty. If Annan had any credibility at all, he will follow up that statement with some action against the unlawful aggressors in the near future after Iraq has stabilized.

---