

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 15:34:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quote:Preface: Normally I don't post here anymore, personal reasons and the little children like nodbugger trying to argue with intelligent people and then resorting to insults when it's obvious they can't win an argument.

When I get pissed off I generally start to swear. If I argued with you in person it would seem better. Typing words doesn't bring out the full emotion of actual speaking.

And in no way did I lose and in no way is Java intelligent.

How hard is it to understand my cake analogy.

Our reasons for going to war were for what happens after wards.

We cannot have the "what happens after wards" with out the war.

Quote:1 Iraq is predominately Shi'a. The Head of the Shi'a branch of Islam is Iran. This is why BushSr did not finish off Iraq the first time. He believed (quite rightly), that any government formed post US-Coalition would eventually devolve into a fundamentalist government and fall into the majority Shi'a hands. Which would be unduly influenced by Iran.

The UN said no, Bush told the Iraqi people to rise up against Saddam, which they did. then the cease fire was signed. And Saddam slaughtered all of the rebels.

Quote:2 'Shrub's reason's for going to war which have been so ably pointed out in this thread were basically manufactured to suit his own needs. The intel he was given on WMD was single sourced and came from someone known to have an axe to grind. What otehr intel he used to prove his reason for this was all conjecture. Not one piece of proof has surfaced. I am in a position to know it has or will surface as well. Before anyone attacks this, yes thereis/was sufficient evidence to believe that Saddam was researching WMD's but we still haven't found definative proof.

When you have MI6, CIA, and Russian intelligence telling you Saddam has WMD. And you have Putin telling you Iraq has plans to attack in the United States. When you have 40 years of oppression and murderous rampages. When you the use of chemical weapons on a civilian populace. When you have a man that is willing to do anything to stay in power. He doesn't care who has has to kill. That is not someone you leave there.

Quote:

3 I will say this now since the evidence is out there for anyone to see if they have an open mind. Iraq was a war the US couldn't lose that is why we are there. Who else could we so easily beat with minimal loss of life so our president could point to his victory on terror?

There are not many countries who have posed as much of a significant threat as Saddam. Saddam is a very well known dictator. Very few people do not know of his crimes. Saddam is what i would call the poster boy for Evil Dictators. When every they need an evil guy to make fun of they go straight to Saddam. Every watch South Park? Saddam is a raving homosexual with Satan. On Saturday night live he is also getting blow jobs by Monica Lewinsky. There was no better place to start than Iraq. Besides Iran is now giving up secrets. Libya, they just gave up. They don't want to end up like Saddam did. Korea, they are still on the shelf. Negotiations are still going on. And as you said you really cannot just invade a country with millions in their Army and Nuclear weapons.

Quote:Democracy? oops one problem with that. Do they still teach in school that it takes a certain level of education and financial prosperity for democracy to work. Sorry, but it doesn't work in this situation. Why?

Kind of hard to care about who is charge if you have to struggle to feed your family everyday isn't it

One thing Saddam did good was his schooling. while it was filled with propaganda about him the people did learn. Iraqis are in no way stupid or poor. Given just like everything you have the stupid and poor. But it isn't as widespread as you think.

My dad was stationed near Iraqis only port city. You would think they would rich as hell. Well Saddam did not like them so much. So they are poor as hell. When American troops first rolled through their city every Iraqi there was as happy as a person could possibly be. Coalition soldiers have built them roads, schools, they have gotten them clean water, they are getting jobs working on things. Armor divisions pay Iraqis to swap out parts when ever they are needed. Satellite dish and used car sales have gone through the roof. People can actual get information from outside of Iraq.

I think you would know that Iraq at one point was a Republic. Well it has been a Republic. It is just Saddam has kept the Republic part out of it. He kills all political opponents and forces people to vote for him. Democracy worked in Nazi Germany and Democracy worked in Japan. It can certainly work in Iraq.

Quote:Lots of sand small, angry brown men who don't like us?...

It really is not that many people. 99% of Iraqis want to live their lives how they want to live their lives. They are glad Saddam is gone and they want everything to settle down. There are now 200,000k Iraqi police patrolling the streets. that is about 3 times the Amount of Americans Guarding anything.

They want their country to be better and by saying the things you are you are just slowing down the effort. Either you start being positive or you can stay out of it. If you don't want anything to do with it then you can just shut the fuck up.

PS...Don't ever compare this to Vietnam.

---