

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 05:49:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warrantoAnd I'm sure it being called the "War on Terrorism" meant that it was for Iraqi's to be freed, right? Like I stated earlier, had freeing Iraqi citezens been the reason for going to war, ideas of WMD's and Terrorism ties would NEVER of been introduced.

And hind-sight arguements don't hold water, in any arguement where you plan to hold any sort of strength. Using your logic, we should execute you, and half the worlds population because we don't know what they'd do.

so Bush jr decides to wait over 6 months? And seeing as how you enjoy hind-sight arguements, here's one of my own. Had 9/11 not happened, I somehow doubt he would have invaded.

Oh, and sending supplies doesn't mean anything. At least it seems not to when it's Canada doing rather than participating in the war...

It is the War on Terror.

Is Saddam not a terrorist?

Your logic is seriously flawed. I am not Saddam. I have not done what Saddam has done. You have no reason to suspect me of anything. Saddam on the other hand.....you get my drift.

The Military Operation was called 'Operation Iraq Freedom'

You are jackass. Plain and simple. Europe would have failed in 15 minutes if we did not supply them.

---