

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [SuperFlyingEngi](#) on Sat, 31 Jul 2004 23:00:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NodbuggerWMD was one of the reasons. One of the most dangerous reasons.

Particularly dangerous in the fact that we have demonstrated that Saddam has no WMDs and was disarmed. He also had practically no army.

NodbuggerTo think we were going in just for that is crazy. The many other reasons were given since the beginning. You just tend to hype on the parts that are a little shady at the moment. Keep in mind WMD have not been ruled out yet, it may take a while to find out the whole truth about them. But at this point in time you cannot make a decision either way. If you did it would be like saying that there is/isn't a cure for cancer. Just because we haven't found it does not mean it doesn't exist.

Using the same argument, check inside your laundry hamper and see if there are any WMDs. Let me know if you find any.

NodbuggerBesides, the humanitarian reasons are a given. You do not need to tell someone Saddam is an evil bastard that deserves a hell of a lot worse of what he is getting.

I assume that you want to go into Darfur, Sudan and resolve that crisis immediately, and that you will then choose to solve the starvation crisis in Africa, which on a humanitarian scale is between 100 to 1,000 times worse than Saddam, including how everyone in Africa will in fact die, instead of just be oppressed.

NodbuggerI want you to tell the Iraqi people that they don't want us there. That is simply false. Every poll ever has said the Iraqis do not want us to leave, and they just want their government in place.

Can I ask where you found your data, because reality seems to be quite the opposite.

Falls Church News PressAlso prior to Falluja came a scientific CNN/USA Today/Gallup poll of the Iraqi people, the results of which were reported last night. The poll shows that a solid majority of Iraqis think the U.S. operation in Iraq has been "unjustified," and that 57% believe the U.S. forces should leave the country "immediately."

NodbuggerI know tons of soldiers that have spent a year or more in Iraq. Every Iraqi they have met is very happy about getting rid of Saddam.

There are over 900 dead U.S. soldiers who won't agree with that.

NodbuggerThere is no way you cannot justify taking out Saddam.

Well, international law is one way to justify it, seeing as how Saddam was the head of a sovereign nation. The cost of 270 billion dollars for the U.S. is another way to justify it. And if we have to occupy for five years, as some generals believe, let's call it an even trillion. 900 dead and 8,000 wounded would be justification for some others. Some would justify it with 13,000 dead Iraqis.

The near universal condemnation of the civilized world also carries some weight in some places.

---